

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

|                          |                |                      |                        | 2                |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/002,295               | 11/20/2001     | Romeo E. Elias       | 2143                   |                  |
| 75                       | 90. 08/10/2004 |                      | EXAM                   | INER             |
| Rachele Wittw            | ver er         | HWANG, JOON H        |                        |                  |
| IRELL & MAN              | ELLA.LLP       |                      | <del></del>            |                  |
| Suite 900                | :              |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 1800 Avenue of the Stars |                |                      | 2172                   | . 9              |
| Los Angeles, C           | CA 90067       |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/10/200 | $\sim$           |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(d

|  |  | <i>U</i>   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summany  | 10/002,295   | ELIAS ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAN INC DATE of this communication and   | Joon H. Hwang  | 2172   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | el6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No.  | <u>ovember 2001</u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This   | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·— · · ·   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E.  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 3 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | n from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  A) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  | atent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
| S Patent and Trademark Office  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/002,295

Art Unit: 2172

ΔÌ

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The pending claims are 1 and 2.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stier et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,591,258).

With respect to claim 1, Stier discloses obtaining existing knowledge (knowledge objects and records) in a predetermined format of selected information domain (line 50 in col. 3 thru line 4 in col. 4, line 61 in col. 7 thru line 20 in col. 8, line 61 in col. 23 thru line 17 in col. 24, and lines 37-46 in col. 24) concerning capturing existing knowledge in a predetermined format of selected information categories. Stier discloses a structure of records and a format of records (lines 43-51 in col. 9, lines 38-50 in col. 14, lines 55-63 in col. 15, lines 56-60 in col. 43, and figs. 8-10). Stier discloses incorporating the obtained knowledge into knowledge objects and records having elements of information including links between related information (lines 61-34 in col. 2, line 50 in col. 3 thru line 4 in col. 4, lines 30-36 in col. 24, fig. 1b, and fig. 25). Stier discloses acquiring new knowledge (line 61 in col. 2 thru line 19 in col. 3 and lines 39-54 in col. 6). Stier discloses capturing the new knowledge in the predetermined format (line 61 in col. 2

Application/Control Number: 10/002,295

Art Unit: 2172

å

thru line 5 in col. 3, lines 43-51 in col. 9, lines 38-50 in col. 14, lines 55-63 in col. 15, lines 56-60 in col. 43, lines 26-40 in col. 46, and figs. 8-10). Stier discloses updating the knowledge base (database) to include the new information and links between the new information and related information (lines 32-49 in col. 3, lines 25-37 in col. 14, lines 35-54 in col. 15, lines 64-67 in col. 24, and lines 36-45 in col. 26). Stier discloses making decisions for how to organize the information that is going to be stored in the knowledge base system (lines 53-60 in col. 7). And yet, Stier does not explicitly disclose organizing the knowledge into an indexed database. However, Stier discloses a spreadsheet in the knowledge base for organizing and recording knowledge objects and records (lines 18-25 in col. 25, lines 49-61 in col. 38, and lines 16-29 in col. 46), wherein the spreadsheet can organize information in an indexed way. Therefore, based on Stier, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the spreadsheet of Stier for organizing information in order to process (search, display, or report) information in an organized way.

- 4. Claim 2 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it sets forth the claimed invention as a system rather than a method and rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

Stier et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,560,589), Stier et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,499,024), Presnell et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,182,067), and Zimmermann et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,678,694) disclose knowledge management.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Joon H. Hwang whose telephone number is 703-305-6469. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00(M~F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN E BREENE can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100